

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,312	10/30/2003	Brian R. Reynolds	1001.1737101	3933
28075 CROMPTON	7590 02/17/201 SEAGER & TUFTE, I	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLET A VENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10, 1111 00 100 2 120	3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,312	REYNOLDS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738	

	CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of t application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places t application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1/3 (7) (3) a Requestor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFF 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	F WE 07 OFD 44 07						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying to	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
= :	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738	/Christopher D Prone/ Examiner, Art Unit 3738						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are all directed toward the process used to make the device, but the claims are only directed at the final product. The applicant also argues that the motivation "because they can be" is inappropriate but the rejection clearly discloses the purpose for the modification is to minimize the chances of damaging or puncturing the vessel wall. Therefore the rejection is proper and maintained.